# Evaluation of the Traditions of Yūnus ibn Zibyān Zibyān in Al-Kāfī Based on Source Retrieving's Method

#### Seyyed Soleyman Mousavi

PhD student, Quran and hadith Department, Razavi university of Islamic Sciences, Mashhad. Iran; m\_soleyman@yahoo.com

#### Muhammad Ebrahim Roshanzamir

Associate Professor, Department of Quran and Hadith Sciences, Razavi University of Islamic Sciences, Mashhad. Iran; Roshanzamir@razavi.ac.ir

#### Seyyed Ali Delbari

Associate Professor, Department of Quran and Hadith Sciences, Razavi University of Islamic Sciences, Mashhad, Iran; delbari@razavi.ac.ir

Received: 15/08/2022 Accepted: 24/10/2022

### Introduction

The presence of traditions attributed to individuals considered highly unreliable by *rijalists* in Shi'a hadith collections, particularly in canonical works like *al-Kāfī Kāfī*, raises the question of how such traditions found their way into these compilations. One such figure is *Yūnus ibn Zibyān*. Despite being a companion of Imam Sadiq (as), *rijalists* have labeled *Yūnus* as a liar, a demented (*mukhtalit*), an exaggerator, and a fabricator of hadiths. He was even cursed by Imam Rida (as) and, in short, is deemed unreliable by *rijalists*. Our hypothesis regarding the inclusion of *Yūnus ibn Zibyān*'s traditions in *al-Kāfī* is that *Kulayni* relied on reputable Shi'a sources when transmitting these traditions. Based on this hypothesis, this article aims to identify how these traditions made their own way into *al-Kāfī* by retrieving the written sources of *Yūnus*'s traditions.

### Materials and methods

From *Yūnus ibn Zibyān*, around 20 hadiths have been reported in *al-Kāfī*, all of which transmited directly from Imam Sadiq(as). To recover the written sources of *Yūnus's* traditions in *al-Kāfī*, we follow five marks:

 The first and second persons in the isnad (chain of transmission) are mostly *kulayni's* authorizing teachers (*mashayikh-e ijaze*) and were responsible for transmitting the books of their predecessors to him. *Kulayni* has rarely transmited a hadith directly from the books of these two people. The common compound (*taḥwī*lī) isnads of *al-Kāfī*, which connect two layers of transmitters, indicate this and the reception of the tradition from the third transmitters' book through the word "*jamī'an*" (all together).

### Hadith Studies, Volume 16, Number 31, June 2024, S. Mousavi et al.

- 2. In the *tarīqs* (*line of transmitters*) which bibliographers have reported to their own books, if the name of the author of the book and the person after him is the same as the two last persons in the isnad of the hadith, it can be strongly suggested that the book was the original source of the hadith. Therefore, if the entire *tarīq* to that book is the same as the isnad of the hadith up to *Kulayni* or his authorizing teachers, it shows that *Kulayni* brought the hadith directly from that book in *al-Kāfī*. If this similarity is not found, considering that most of the transmitters present in the isnads of *al-Kāfī* are the authors of books, there is an intermediary in the transmission; that is, the tradition has been transmitted from one source to subsequent sources until it finally reached *Kulayni*. Most of these intermediaries are identified by referring to the *tariqs* found in the bibliographies and the repetition of isnads.
- 3. The frequent repetition of an identical isnad that reaches the author of a book can be an indication of the *tarīq* to that book.
- 4. If an isnad is not in accordance with the *tarīqs* found in the bibliographies or is not repeated many times in  $al-K\bar{a}f\bar{t}$ , it is not possible to indicate how the hadith was transmitted, whether orally or in written form.
- 5. Comparing the content of the hadiths with the subjects of the books of transmitters in the chain of transmission can help us discover the sources of the hadith.

## **Results and findings**

First of all, based on the *tarīqs* to *Yūnus*' book in bibliographies and other evidence, it becomes clear that all of the traditions attributed to *Yūnus* in *al*- $K\bar{a}f\bar{i}$  have been transmitted through intermediaries, and that Kulayni has not directly quoted from the book of *Yūnus* itself. The traditions of *Yūnus* in *al*- $K\bar{a}f\bar{i}$  have been transmitted from the following 13 individuals, listed in order of the number of traditions:

- 1. Husayn ibn Ahmad al-Minqari (6 traditions)
- 2. Khaybari ibn Ali al-Ţaḥān (3 traditions)
- 3. Abdallah ibn Qasim al-Hadramī (2 traditions)
- 4. Muhammad ibn Sinan (1 tradition)
- 5. Mundhir ibn Yazid (1 tradition)
- 6. al-Shaybāni (1 tradition)
- 7. Muhammad ibn Ziyad (1 tradition)
- 8. Jamil ibn Darrāj (1 tradition)
- 9. Umar ibn Abd al-'Aziz (1 tradition)
- 10. Isa ibn Sulayman al-Nakhās (1 tradition)

11.Mufaddal ibn Umar (1 tradition)

#### Hadith Studies, Volume 16, Number 31, June 2024, S. Mousavi et al.

12.Manșūr ibn Yūnus (1 tradition) 13.Isma'il ibn Jabir (1 tradition)

None of these 13 immediate transmitters of  $Y\bar{u}nus$  are mentioned in the *tarīq* to the book of  $Y\bar{u}nus$ , nor have they transmitted a large number of traditions from him. Consequently, according to the fourth mark of recovery, the quality of the transmission of hadith from  $Y\bar{u}nus$  to them is not clear.

In the recovery of the sources of Yūnus' traditions in *al-Kāfī*, it was found that Kulayni obtained his traditions from 14 written sources: three traditions each from the books of 'Isa ibn Hisham and 'Umar ibn 'Abd al-'Aziz; two traditions each from the books of Muhammad ibn Abi 'Umayr, Hasan ibn 'Ali al-Washsha', Muhammad ibn Sinan, and Bakr ibn Ṣāliḥ; and one tradition each from the books of Husayn ibn Sa'id al-Ahwazi, Qasim ibn Muhammad al-Jawhari, Muhammad ibn 'Urmah, 'Abdallah ibn Qasim al-Ḥaḍrami, 'Ali ibn Ma'bad, Abusumaynah, Muhammad ibn Isma'il al-Barmaki, and Manşur ibn Yūnus.

Of these sources, the books of 'Ubays, Ibn Abi 'Umayr, Washsha', and Husayn ibn Sa'id are among the well-known and reliable sources of the Imamiyah. Ibn Sinan, Abusumaynah, and Ibn 'Urmah are also reported to have had well-known books; however, only some of them are considered reliable, and the *tarīqs* to these reliable books are mentioned in the bibliographies. Kulayni's chains of transmission to them are the same as the reliable *tarīqs*. However, the other sources of Kulayni cannot be considered to have such a reputation

### Conclusion

Half of the fourteen written sources used by Kulayni in transmitting the traditions of  $Y\bar{u}nus$  can be considered among the reliable sources that were referred to by Shi'a hadith scholars. Based on the available evidence, some reasons can also be guessed about the other half of the sources, which indicate their importance at least for Kulayni himself. These reasons include the transmission of the book by individuals who were strict in accepting hadith, such as Ahmad al-Ash'ari; the existence of a correct copy of the book in Kulayni's possession; and the existence of the same content of the book in other reliable books. On the whole, it can be said that the existence of a tradition in reliable written sources was one of the main criteria for the acceptance of hadith by early hadith scholars such as Kulayni, even if the transmitter of the tradition did not have an appropriate position before *rijalists*.

**Keywords:** *Yūnus* ibn Zibyān, exaggeration, al-Kāfī, Kulayni, source retrieving.